

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/528,296	03/17/2000	Kazuhiko Takada	000294	4124	
38834 7	590 01/23/2004	•	EXAM	INER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			NADA	NADAV, ORI	
1250 CONNEC SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036		2811			
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/528,296	TAKADA, KAZUHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI- MANUNO DATE COL	ori nadav	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANC	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 L	December 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	visional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Cook et al. (6,022,791) and Chiang et al. (5,817,572).

Regarding claim 1, APA teaches in figure 2 and related text (pages 1-3) a substrate 21; and a multilayer interconnection structure formed on the substrate (page 1, lines 15-37), the multilayer interconnection structure including: at least first and second interlayer insulation films 24, 25 provided on the substrate; and a guard ring pattern 12 embedded in each of the first and second interlayer insulation films for blocking penetration of moisture, the guard ring pattern extending along a periphery of the substrate (figure 1B), the multilayer interconnection structure being planarized by using a CMP process (page 5, 11-17),

the guard ring pattern including: a groove 24A formed in each of the first and second interlayer insulation films, a conductive wall 24C filling the groove in each of the first and second interlayer insulation films 24, 25 and extending to a top principal surface thereof; and a conductive pattern 25A making a contact with a top part of the conductive wall 24C and having a principal surface coincident to the top principal surface of the interlayer

Art Unit: 2811

insulation film 24, the conductive wall 24C in the first interlayer insulation film 24 being offset with respect to the conductive wall 25C in the second interlayer insulation film 25 in a direction parallel to a principal surface of the substrate when viewed in a direction perpendicular to the principal surface of the substrate, wherein the interlayer insulation film comprises a first insulation film 24 that support the conductive wall 24C, the conductive pattern comprising Cu (page 4, line 28 to page 5, line 17), a bottom edge of the conductive wall 25C making an intimate contact with the top principle surface of the conductive pattern 25A, the conductive pattern and the second insulating film located at a top part of the multiplayer interconnection structure being covered continuously with an insulation film 26.

APA does not teach a guard ring pattern having a groove and a conductive wall comprising Cu and changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern, wherein the conductive wall extends from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film and a second insulation film that supports the conductive pattern laterally and having a coplanar top principle surface therewith.

Cook et al. teach in figures 5 and 8 and related text a guard ring pattern 60, 70, respectively having a groove and a conductive wall M0-M4 changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern (column 4, lines 7-13).

Regarding the claimed limitations of a conductive wall extending from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film and a second insulation film supporting the conductive pattern laterally laterally and having a coplanar top principle surface

Art Unit: 2811

therewith, are not taught by APA, because APA does not form the conductive structure using etch stop layers. Chiang et al. teach in figure 25 and related text forming a conductive structure using etch stop layers. Chiang et al. teach a conductive layer 393, 394 (column 21, line 25) extends from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film 390, 391 to a top principal surface thereof, and a second insulation film 350; 323 that supports conductive pattern 360, 361 laterally, and having a coplanar top principle surface therewith.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a guard ring pattern having a groove and a conductive wall comprising Cu and changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern, as taught by Cook et al., and a conductive wall extends from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film to a top principal surface thereof, wherein the second insulation film supports the conductive pattern laterally and having a coplanar top principle surface therewith, as taught by Chiang et al., in APA's device in order to reduce stress and prevent cracks, to improve the problems of signal delay in the device, and in order to provide better control over the depth of the conductive wall and to avoid additional planarizing steps. respectively.

The combination is motivated by the teachings of Cook et al. who point out the advantages of using a guard ring pattern having a groove and a conductive wall changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern with respect to a guard ring pattern

Art Unit: 2811

having a straight pattern (column 4, lines 14-24), and by the teachings of Chiang et al. who point out the advantages of forming a conductive wall extending from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film to a top principal surface thereof by using an etch stop layer in between the two interlayer insulation films (column 3, lines 21-35).

Note that although APA teaches a multilayer interconnection structure being planarized by using a CMP process (page 5, 11-17) this is a process limitation which would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ: 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Regarding claim 2, APA teaches in figure 1 a guard ring pattern extending continuously along the periphery of the substrate.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 3, APA teaches in figure 1 a conductive pattern extending in the form of a straight line along peripheral edge of the substrate.

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Cook et al. and APA teach a conductive pattern changes a direction repeatedly and alternatively in the plane parallel in correspondence to the conductive wall.

Regarding claim 7 Chiang et al. teach in figure 25 an etch stop layer 390 interposed between the first and second insulation films. Therefore, the device of APA Chiang et al. teach an etch stop layer 390 interposed between the first and second insulation films, as claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to modify the APA's guard ring structure by Cook et al. and Chiang et al.

Cook et al. teach in figures 5 and 8 and related text a guard ring pattern 60, 70, respectively having a groove and a conductive wall M0-M4 changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern (column 4, lines 7-13). Chiang et al. teach in figure 25 and related text forming a conductive structure using etch stop layers. Chiang et al. teach a conductive layer 393, 394 (column 21, line 25) extends from a bottom principal surface of

Art Unit: 2811

the interlayer insulation film 390, 391 to a top principal surface thereof, and a second insulation film 350, 323 that supports conductive pattern 360, 361 laterally, and having a coplanar top principle surface therewith.

The motivation to modify the APA's guard ring structure by Cook et al. and Chiang et al. is provided by the teachings of Cook et al. who point out the advantages of using a guard ring pattern having a groove and a conductive wall changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern with respect to a guard ring pattern having a straight pattern (column 4, lines 14-24), and by the teachings of Chiang et al. who point out the advantages of forming a conductive wall extending from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film to a top principal surface thereof by using an etch stop layer in between the two interlayer insulation films (column 3, lines 21-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a guard ring pattern having a groove and a conductive wall comprising Cu and changing direction repeatedly and alternatively in a plane parallel to the substrate in one of a triangular wave pattern and a rectangular wave pattern, as taught by Cook et al., and a conductive wall extends from a bottom principal surface of the interlayer insulation film to a top principal surface thereof, wherein the second insulation film supports the conductive pattern laterally and having a coplanar top principle surface therewith, as taught by Chiang et al., in APA's device in order to reduce stress and prevent cracks, to improve the problems of signal delay in the device, and in order to provide better control over the depth of the conductive wall and to avoid additional planarizing steps, respectively.

Art Unit: 2811

Page 8

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. January 16, 2004 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

A Van